	3.	Duffy Directs that Denial Be Based Upon Section 20	
		of IGRA, As Well As Section 465 of IRA and its Part 151 Regulations	227
	4.	Recusal of Assistant Secretary Ada Deer	
	4 . 5.	The Issuance of the Decision Letter	
	<i>5</i> . 6.	Interior Department Witnesses Deny Both Being Influenced	242
	0.	by Political Party Affiliations and Being Aware of the	
		Hudson Opponents' Efforts to Obtain Assistance from the DNC.	245
	7.	The Policy Reason Given for the Hudson Decision Was	243
	,.	Neither a Long-Standing, Nor a Consistently Applied,	
		Interior Policy	247
	8.	Secretary Babbitt's Involvement in Consideration of the	2 . ,
	0.	Hudson Application	253
		a. Babbitt's Participation in Indian Gaming Matters	
		Generally	253
		b. Babbitt's Role in the Hudson Decision-Making	
		Process and Early Contacts with Interested Parties	256
		c. Secretary Babbitt's Contact with Paul Eckstein	
		d. Eckstein and Babbitt's May 17 Meeting	
		e. Additional Approaches to Babbitt by Applicant	
		Representatives	274
Н.	Events of July 14, 1995		277
	1.	Eckstein Arranges a Meeting with Duffy	
	2.	Eckstein and Moody Meet with Duffy on July 14, 1995	
	3.	Eckstein's July 14, 1995, Meeting with Secretary Babbitt	
	4.	Further Efforts By the Applicant Representatives to Delay	
		the Decision	290
I.	Effo	rts to Reverse the Hudson Denial	293
	1.	The Applicants and Havenick Seek Reconsideration of	
		the Denial	293
	2.	Eckstein Provides an Affidavit Regarding Contact with	
		Secretary Babbitt in Litigation Challenging DOI's Denial	
		of the Hudson Application	306
	3.	Applicant Tribes Meet with IGMS Director Skibine and	
		Staff Members on Dec. 3, 1996	308
J.	The Opponent Tribes Contribute Heavily to Democrats in the		
		Election Cycle	311
	1.	1995 Contribution Activity Prior to the Hudson Decision	
	2.	DNC Contacts with the Tribal Opponents in the Aftermath	
		of the Hudson Decision	313